So a typical sprint runs like this:

1. Determine the “user stories” that are to be addressed. This can take the form of a user story narrative, and/or a set of “task cards” that describe some useful part of the overall problem that you intend to solve. You should be able to show that the stories or cards reflect what the customer has asked for in their user requirements document. If the customer did not ask for it, why would you be developing it? Consider prioritising task cards. This may reflect the significance of the task, or how complex you think it may be to achieve.
2. Determine whether there are any specific system requirements (functional, non-functional and domain requirements) that naturally emerge from your user stories or task cards. They do not need to be exhaustive, but they will focus your mind on exactly what needs to be done, and what needs to be tested.
3. Assign team members and resources to deliver the sprint. Some simple project planning is appropriate here. Consider any key risk factors and what strategies you have in place for them.
4. Make any design decisions that are essential for the delivery of the sprint. This can take the form of class analysis (for OO implementations), system or other high level diagrams, UML diagrams, including although not limited to, use cases, sequence diagrams and state diagrams. You only need to do sufficient design to support the sprint objectives.
5. Develop a test plan. Once you run your sprint, you should test your prototype. This will likely require some mix of automated unit testing and human-run system testing. To figure out what needs to be tested, look at any specific system requirements you set out for the sprint.
6. Get feedback on your work. Compare what you have done with the user stories and task cards. If you need more feedback, show your work to the customer.
7. Set your priorities for the next sprint, using knowledge and experience gained from your last sprint. The customer can provide help here – just email them if you need to.

Each sprint cycle needs to be documented to provide the evidence necessary for your final submission. The documentation is more lightweight than would be the case for a Waterfall development process model. You may find it helpful to have one person in your team co-ordinating the documentation process. You should keep backups of your documentation and look after it with the same care you should afford your codebase. A working program with poor quality documentation will not grade very well.

You can organise as many sprints as you think appropriate. But each sprint will need to be documented, so that markers can get a clear sense of the progression of your group throughout this coursework activity. It is likely that most groups will have between 4-6 sprints. Some might have more, it’s really down to you to decide as a group how you will make this process work.

**Sprint cycle template documentation model.**

Use the template at **Appendix A** as a basic model for what the documentation should look like for each sprint. You can adapt this documentation model if you think appropriate. You should be prepared to justify any such changes in your final submission.

Kingsley Sage

[Khs20@sussex.ac.uk](mailto:Khs20@sussex.ac.uk)

February 2020

**APPENDIX A: SPRINT DOCUMENTATION TEMPLATE**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Summary data** | |
| Team number | 2 |
| Sprint technical lead(s) | Jonathan Benjamin Morris |
| Sprint start date | 14/02/2020 |
| Sprint end date | 28/02/2020 |

*The technical lead may vary from one sprint to the next. This is down to how you collectively organise your team.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Individual key contributions** | |
| **Team member** | **Key contribution(s)** |
| Joe C. | Coding of ENUM’s and the player class, initial creation of frontend class. |
| Joe L. | Frontend Coding, first draft Risk analysis, frontend design, meeting writeup |
| Alex H. | Board development, parsing a JSON file, backend design, creation of the Tile classes |
| Tom M. | Art (sprites and board tiles), Frontend Coding |
| Ben M. | Project management, GameMaster development, JavaDoc, IT support, finished risk analysis, backend design. |
|  |  |

*This data should help you to agree your peer assessment at the end of the project. If there is a dispute over your peer assessment, the markers will refer to this section as evidence to support a final decision.*

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **User stories / task cards** |
| *Provide text descriptions of any user stories or task cards you have selected for this sprint. These should naturally emerge from the user requirements document and discussion on Canvas. If you produce task cards, they should show the relative priority of the task for this sprint.*  The game is for 2-6 players. Each player is assigned one of the game tokens. The tokens are: boot, smartphone, goblet, hat stand, cat and spoon. Each player takes a turn by rolling two dice to determine how they move around the board.  For each turn, the player rolls the two dice. They move the number of spaces shown on the dice and arrive at a board space. Players move clockwise around the board.  Task cards on GitHub under the milestone Sprint 1 for the project |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Requirements analysis** |
| *For the user stories/task cards selected, set out what key functional, non-functional and domain requirements you have identified. Remember that functional and non-functional requirements can be further categorised as mandatory (“shall”) and desirable (“should”). You can use free text descriptions or tabular formats. Remember that domain requirements cannot be acted upon directly. They require domain expertise to refine them into meaningful functional and non-functional requirements. All requirements should be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Time-Bounded). The requirements analysis does not need to be exhaustive, but should focus on things that are important for this sprint. They should also form a basis for testing.*  Domain Restrictions   * No offensive iconography shall be used. * The randomness of the dice roller should be assured by meeting the statistical random number generator tests specified in FIPS 140-2, Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules, section 4.9.1   Non-Functional restrictions   * The dice roller function shall have an unbiased and random result. * The dice roller function should take less than a second to return results.   Functional Restrictions   * The dice roller function shall return two numbers between 1 and 6. * The board GUI shall update when a piece is moved. * The board model shall update player positions * The board model shall fetch data from a file * The board model shall use fetched data to determine what board spaces are which. * The file that is fetched from shall be documented * The board model shall be able to tell whether the motion was caused by dice or other entity. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Design** |
| *Remember that you only need to do enough design to support the objectives of the sprint. For teams working with OO implementation languages (likely most of you), this would include a class diagram. You may find it useful to develop simple Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) for key classes. This will focus your attention on what each class needs to make available for other classes to use. It also supports good documentation practice and helps coders work together.*  On GitHub in the Design folder. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Test plan and evidence of testing** |
| *You should consider:*   * *Unit/component level testing – typically achieved using automated test procedures such as Junit in Java. This level of testing demonstrates that individual classes are working as you intend.* * *System level testing – typically a human lead and documented test process that shows the prototype working as a whole entity.*   *Testing should show that the requirements you set out are being delivered on. They provide a means of showing that we have delivered what the user stores and task cards set out. Remember to identify a useful set of boundary test conditions.*  *Evidence of testing should demonstrate that the prototype achieved has been tested according to the test plan. If there are deficiencies, then these should be documented, as they will need further work in a subsequent sprint.*  We’ll be using JUnit tests in a testing folder (JUnit Tests) for our project.  System level testing  Test 1:   * Use the dice roller function through the GUI * If the correct players token moves on the GUI a number of spaces between 2 and 12 then success * Otherwise failure   Test 2:   * Use the ‘next turn’ button on the GUI * Then click the roll dice button. * If there is an even roll and the same player moves, go to step 1. * If a different player token moves a number of spaces between 2 and 12 then there is a success. * Otherwise failure.   Test 3:   * The tester should select a number of human users between 2 and 6 to play the game * The tester should see a number of selections on which token to select equal to the number they selected * Otherwise failure |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Summary of sprint** |
| *You should consider and discuss:*   * *Did you achieve you objectives for this sprint?* * *Is there a working prototype?* * *What went well, and what did not go well? If things did not go well, what have you learned and what will you do differently for the next sprint?* * *Is there any feedback from the customer?*   Who contributed, even a small amount, to what:   1. Ben   Manage project  Completed risk assessment  Success criteria  Backend coding of the ‘Game master’ class  IT support  JavaDoc   1. Alex   Backend coding of the board class  Transforming a json file into tiles  Coding of Tile classes  Frontend coding (minority)  Frontend design   1. Joe L.   First draft of risk assessment  Frontend design  Frontend Coding (majority)   1. Joe C.   Backend coding of Player class and enum classes  Frontend coding  Frontend design (minority)   1. Tom   Art  Frontend coding  Frontend coding (majority)  Things that went well   * We completed our first sprint * We implemented slightly more features than required for backend * Most of the frontend was completed * All members contributed to the sprint   Things that did not go well   * Deadlines were not communicated properly * Communication wasn’t optimal * It took a while to get some members involved * Not a clear enough set of requirements * Requirements weren’t SMART * Not a clear enough Design based on the requirements   Working prototype   * Somewhat   Feedback from customer   * Looks good   Achieve objectives   * Yes |
|  |